60,469-474 PUS1 PA-000.05361-US

Therefore, the Robertson reference does not teach a sensor for determining when an elevator car is

in a predetermined parking position.

The Yoneda reference does not have any sway limiting members and, therefore, there is no

reason to use Robertson's sensors. Without a reason for the combination, it cannot be made.

Additionally, there is no prima facie case of obviousness because the Robertson reference

does not teach the type of sensor that the Examiner admits is not found in the Yoneda reference.

Therefore, even if the proposed combination of the Yoneda and Robertson references could be

made, the result is not enough to establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

Given that every rejection in the final office action depends upon the proposed combination of the Yoneda and Robertson references and the fact that the Robertson reference does not teach

what the Examiner attributes to the reference, all rejections should be withdrawn.

Applicant respectfully submits that this case is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

David J. Gaskey, Reg. No. 37,139 400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350 Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-8360

Dated: September <u>15</u>, 2010

N:\Clients\OTIS ELEVATOR\IP00474 PUSI\PATENT\Response 9-10.doc